**Flow-Controlled Mineral Deposition as an Emergent Lattice:

A Systems-Based Framework for Probabilistic Resource Mapping**

Author: Jeremy Forlines
Degree: Master of Science
Discipline: Earth Sciences / Economic Geology

Abstract

Mineral deposits are unevenly distributed across the Earth's crust, forming clusters, belts, and provinces rather than occurring randomly. Traditional approaches in economic geology have successfully described deposit-scale processes but often lack explanatory power at regional scales, where deposits recur along persistent corridors through geological time. This thesis proposes a systems-based framework in which mineral deposits are understood as emergent features arising from the interaction of hydrologic transport, structural geology, geochemical gradients, and time. These interactions generate irregular but intelligible networks of fluid pathways and nodal accumulation zones, herein described as an emergent lattice.

The framework integrates mineral systems theory with hydrogeology and structural analysis to demonstrate that metals are transported primarily by aqueous fluids along long-lived pathways constrained by faults, fractures, aquifers, and lithologic boundaries. Mineral deposition occurs where physical or chemical conditions change, forcing dissolved materials to precipitate. Over geological timescales, repeated circulation through these pathways amplifies incremental depositional events into economically significant concentrations. The resulting spatial organization is neither deterministic nor geometric, but probabilistic and adaptive, reflecting geological constraints rather than imposed order.

This thesis demonstrates that mineral deposit locations can be probabilistically mapped by identifying persistent flow corridors and their associated transition zones. The emergent lattice model does not assert engineered planetary grids, hidden symmetries, or metaphysical causation. Instead, it provides a defensible conceptual tool for understanding deposit clustering, guiding exploration targeting, and reinforcing the view of the Earth as a self-organizing system governed by coupled physical processes.

Chapter 1 — Introduction

1.1 Overview

The spatial distribution of mineral resources provides a fundamental clue to the processes that shape the Earth's crust. Economic concentrations of metals such as copper, gold, lithium, and uranium do not occur randomly; they cluster in districts, align along belts, and recur in comparable geological settings across continents. These patterns reflect long-term interactions among fluid flow, structural architecture, geochemical conditions, and time. Despite this, mineral deposits are frequently approached as isolated anomalies rather than as expressions of integrated Earth system behavior.

This thesis advances a systems-based interpretation of mineralization, proposing that deposits are the cumulative outcome of persistent material movement through constrained pathways and deposition at stable transition zones. By shifting focus from individual ore bodies to the pathways and processes that sustain them, the work seeks to explain why deposits cluster regionally, why certain corridors host repeated mineralization, and why similar deposit types recur in different tectonic settings.

1.2 Motivation and Context

Economic geology has long relied on deposit typologies and genetic models to guide exploration and interpretation. While these models have been successful at explaining local mineralization mechanisms, they often fail to address broader spatial organization. Exploration programs routinely encounter regions where multiple deposits occur along the same structures or hydrologic systems, suggesting that deeper organizing principles are at work.

At the same time, human settlement and historical mining have reinforced perceptions of "special" locations, where mineral wealth appears repeatedly across eras. Although such perceptions are not causal, they often reflect intuitive recognition of persistent natural flows—water, materials, and energy—that predate human activity by millions of years. This thesis seeks to formalize that intuition within a rigorous geological framework.

1.3 Research Question

The central research question guiding this work is:

Can mineral deposit locations be probabilistically mapped by identifying persistent fluid flow pathways and their associated geochemical transition zones?

This question emphasizes probability over determinism and process over geometry. It avoids claims of engineered grids or intentional design, focusing instead on whether established geological processes naturally generate repeatable spatial organization.

1.4 Working Hypothesis

The working hypothesis of this thesis is:

Mineral deposits preferentially form at nodal locations where long-lived fluid flow pathways experience physical or chemical transitions that force dissolved materials to precipitate. Over geological timescales, these nodes recur and collectively form an emergent lattice governed by hydrology, structure, and geochemistry.

Underlying this hypothesis are several assumptions:

Aqueous fluids are the dominant agents of metal transport.

Fluid flow is constrained by structural and hydrologic architecture.

Deposition occurs at transition zones rather than along entire pathways.

Time and repetition amplify incremental depositional events.

1.5 From Points to Pathways

A key conceptual shift proposed here is the movement away from point-based interpretations of mineralization toward pathway-based reasoning. Instead of asking why a deposit exists at a specific location, the framework asks why material moved repeatedly through a region and where conditions forced it to stop. In this view, deposits are secondary features, while pathways are the primary organizing elements.

The emergent lattice is introduced as a descriptive term for the resulting spatial organization. It consists of interconnected corridors of transport and nodal zones of accumulation. The lattice is irregular, adaptive, and governed entirely by geological constraints.

1.6 Scope and Delineation

This thesis explicitly does not propose global geometric grids, engineered energy circuits, or metaphysical causation. All mechanisms discussed are physical, testable, and grounded in established Earth science. Symbolic or conceptual frameworks are acknowledged only as retrospective human interpretations, not as drivers of geological processes.

1.7 Significance

The significance of this work lies in its integrative approach. By synthesizing hydrology, structural geology, geochemistry, and time into a single framework, the emergent lattice model provides:

An explanation for regional deposit clustering

A unifying lens across multiple resource types

A probabilistic tool for exploration targeting

A reinforcement of Earth systems thinking

1.8 Thesis Structure

This thesis proceeds as follows:
Chapter 2 reviews relevant geological and economic geology literature.
Chapter 3 examines hydrologic transport and geochemical mechanisms.
Chapter 4 analyzes structural controls and flow persistence.
Chapter 5 defines the emergent lattice model.
Chapter 6 presents a methodology for operationalizing the framework.

Chapter 7 applies the model to major resource classes.
Chapter 8 discusses limitations.
Chapter 9 explores broader implications.
Chapter 10 concludes.

Chapter 2 — Geological Background and Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

Any attempt to explain mineral deposit distribution must be grounded in the extensive body of work developed within economic geology, hydrogeology, structural geology, and geochemistry. Over more than a century, these disciplines have progressively refined understanding of ore formation, moving from descriptive cataloging toward integrated, process-based interpretations. This chapter reviews the literature most relevant to the emergent lattice framework, emphasizing research that establishes the physical basis for flow-controlled mineralization and regional-scale patterning.

The purpose of this review is not to exhaustively summarize all deposit models, but to identify the conceptual threads that support a systems-based, pathway-focused interpretation of mineral accumulation.

2.2 Early Descriptive Approaches in Economic Geology

2.2.1 Morphological and Lithological Classification

Early economic geology emphasized classification of ore deposits based on morphology, host rock, and mineral assemblage. Veins, disseminations, placers, and stratiform deposits were distinguished largely by geometry and texture. While this approach enabled systematic documentation of known deposits, it offered limited insight into why deposits occurred where they did or why similar deposits clustered regionally.

Deposits were frequently described as anomalies—localized enrichments requiring explanation at the scale of individual ore bodies. Broader spatial relationships were often noted but rarely integrated into coherent regional models.

2.2.2 Limitations of Early Classification

Although descriptive classifications remain useful, they are inherently retrospective. They describe what is observed after mineralization has occurred, but they do not explain how or why material moved through the crust in the first place. As a result, early exploration strategies based on morphological similarity often struggled to identify new deposits outside known districts.

2.3 Development of Genetic Models

2.3.1 Process-Oriented Interpretations

Advances in geochemistry and petrology during the mid-20th century enabled the development of genetic models linking mineralization to specific geological processes. Hydrothermal circulation, magmatic differentiation, sedimentary diagenesis, and metamorphic devolatilization were identified as primary mechanisms for metal mobilization and deposition.

These models represented a critical advance, shifting focus from static classification to dynamic process. However, many genetic models remained deposit-centric, emphasizing conditions at the site of mineralization rather than the broader systems that sustained material transport.

2.3.2 Hydrothermal Systems

Hydrothermal models highlighted the role of hot, aqueous fluids in transporting metals and precipitating minerals in response to temperature, pressure, and chemical changes. Such models successfully explained vein systems, skarns, and porphyry deposits, but often treated fluid flow as localized around heat sources

rather than as part of region-scale circulation.

2.4 Mineral Systems Theory

2.4.1 Conceptual Framework

Mineral systems theory emerged in the late 20th century as a response to the limitations of deposit-centric models. Rather than focusing solely on ore bodies, mineral systems theory emphasizes the entire system required for mineralization, including:

* Metal sources
* Transport mechanisms
* Depositional traps
* Preservation conditions

This framework recognizes that deposits represent only a small fraction of the total system volume and activity.

2.4.2 Importance of Transport Pathways

A central insight of mineral systems theory is that large volumes of fluid are required to transport sufficient metals to form economic deposits. These fluids must move repeatedly through the crust along pathways that remain open over geological timescales. Consequently, understanding the geometry, persistence, and connectivity of transport pathways is essential for explaining deposit distribution.

2.5 Role of Aqueous Fluids in Metal Transport

2.5.1 Chemical Behavior of Metals in Solution

Most economically significant metals are transported as dissolved ions or complexes in aqueous fluids. Their solubility and mobility depend on temperature, pressure, pH, redox state, and the presence of ligands such as chloride or sulfide ions. These dependencies impose predictable constraints on where metals can travel and where they are likely to precipitate.

2.5.2 Fluid Sources and Circulation

Ore-forming fluids may originate from meteoric, magmatic, metamorphic, or sedimentary sources. Regardless of origin, these fluids rely on permeability networks to circulate. Numerous studies demonstrate that fluid flow is commonly focused along faults, fractures, aquifers, and lithologic boundaries, reinforcing the importance of structural control.

2.6 Structural Controls on Mineralization

2.6.1 Faults and Fractures

Structural geology has long recognized faults and fractures as primary controls on fluid flow. Fault damage zones often exhibit enhanced permeability, enabling fluids to migrate through otherwise impermeable rock. Fault intersections and bends are particularly favorable sites for fluid focusing and mineral deposition.

2.6.2 Structural Reactivation

Many mineralized regions exhibit evidence of repeated fault reactivation. Structures formed during one tectonic episode may be reused during later events, maintaining permeability and enabling repeated fluid circulation. This reactivation is a key factor in the persistence of mineralizing systems.

2.7 Geochemical Controls and Transition Zones

2.7.1 Physical and Chemical Triggers

Mineral deposition is typically triggered by changes in physical or chemical conditions, including cooling, decompression, oxidation-reduction reactions, pH shifts, and fluid mixing. These triggers are spatially localized and often coincide with structural or hydrologic transitions.

2.7.2 Localization of Deposition

Importantly, deposition does not occur uniformly along flow pathways. Instead, it is concentrated at specific locations where conditions change abruptly. These locations recur spatially because the underlying controls—structures, basin margins, permeability contrasts—are persistent.

2.8 Synthesis of Literature

The literature reviewed here supports several conclusions fundamental to the emergent lattice framework:

1. Mineralization is fluid-driven.
2. Fluid flow is structurally constrained and persistent.
3. Deposition occurs at predictable transition zones.
4. Time and repetition amplify accumulation.

Together, these conclusions suggest that mineral deposits are emergent features of integrated Earth systems rather than isolated anomalies.

Chapter 3 — Hydrologic Transport and Geochemical Mechanisms

3.1 Introduction

The redistribution of metals within the Earth’s crust is fundamentally dependent on the movement of fluids. Solid-state diffusion is insufficient to account for the formation of large mineral deposits under crustal conditions; instead, aqueous fluids act as the primary agents of transport. Understanding how these fluids mobilize, transport, and deposit dissolved materials is therefore central to any explanation of mineral deposit distribution.

This chapter examines the hydrologic and geochemical mechanisms that govern mineral transport and deposition. Emphasis is placed on processes that operate over long timescales and regional spatial extents, as these are the conditions under which persistent pathways and nodal accumulation zones can develop. The mechanisms described here provide the physical basis for the emergent lattice framework introduced in later chapters.

3.2 Sources of Ore-Forming Fluids

3.2.1 Meteoric Water Systems

Meteoric water, derived from precipitation, is a major component of many mineralizing systems. As surface water infiltrates the subsurface, it interacts with rock, dissolving minerals and acquiring dissolved ions. In regions of significant topographic relief, hydraulic gradients can drive deep circulation, allowing meteoric water to reach depths where elevated temperatures and pressures enhance its capacity to transport metals.

Meteoric systems are particularly important in epithermal deposits, sediment-hosted copper systems, and basin-scale uranium mineralization, where large volumes of water move repeatedly through permeable

strata.

3.2.2 Magmatic and Metamorphic Fluids

Magmatic fluids are released during the crystallization of magma and are commonly enriched in metals and volatiles. These fluids play a central role in porphyry copper and related deposit types. Metamorphic fluids, produced by devolatilization reactions during regional metamorphism, also contribute significantly to metal transport, particularly in orogenic gold systems.

Although these fluids may originate at depth, they still rely on structural permeability to migrate upward and outward. Their interaction with meteoric or basin fluids often creates sharp chemical gradients that promote mineral deposition.

3.2.3 Basin Brines

Basin brines form during sediment compaction and diagenesis and are characterized by high salinity and long residence times. These properties make basin brines highly effective at dissolving and transporting metals. Basin-scale flow systems are responsible for many sediment-hosted copper, lead-zinc, and uranium deposits, emphasizing the importance of regional hydrologic circulation.

3.3 Mechanisms of Metal Mobilization

3.3.1 Dissolution and Complex Formation

Metals are mobilized through dissolution and transported as aqueous complexes stabilized by ligands such as chloride, sulfate, or sulfide ions. Temperature and pressure increase solubility, while pH and redox conditions control the stability of metal complexes. For example, copper commonly travels as chloride complexes, while gold is transported as bisulfide complexes under reduced conditions.

These chemical dependencies impose predictable constraints on where metals can be transported and where they are likely to precipitate.

3.3.2 Influence of Salinity

Salinity significantly enhances a fluid’s capacity to transport metals by increasing ionic strength and stabilizing metal-ligand complexes. High-salinity fluids, even when moving slowly, can transport large quantities of dissolved material. This behavior explains the association between mineralization and evaporitic basins or deep sedimentary sequences.

3.4 Transport Pathways and Flow Dynamics

3.4.1 Permeability Versus Porosity

Fluid flow through rock is governed primarily by permeability rather than porosity. Fractures, faults, and interconnected pore networks create preferential pathways that concentrate flow. Once established, these pathways tend to self-reinforce, as fluid-rock interaction alters mineralogy and enhances permeability.

This feedback contributes to the persistence of flow corridors over geological timescales.

3.4.2 Driving Forces of Fluid Flow

Fluid movement is driven by gradients in hydraulic head, temperature, pressure, and density. Topography, heat sources, and tectonic loading all contribute to these gradients. Importantly, many such gradients persist for millions of years, enabling long-term circulation even in tectonically stable regions.

3.4.3 Channelization of Flow

Flow through heterogeneous media naturally becomes channelized. Small initial differences in permeability focus flow, which then enhances those differences through chemical alteration and mechanical erosion. Channelization is a fundamental process underlying the formation of persistent transport pathways.

3.5 Mechanisms of Mineral Deposition

3.5.1 Physical Controls

Mineral precipitation commonly occurs when fluids experience cooling, decompression, or reduced flow velocity. These changes decrease solubility and promote crystallization. Physical controls are often associated with structural features such as fault bends, fracture intersections, or permeability barriers.

3.5.2 Chemical Controls

Chemical triggers include changes in redox state, pH, and fluid composition. Fluid mixing is particularly effective at inducing precipitation, as it introduces abrupt chemical contrasts. Redox fronts are especially important for uranium and iron mineralization, while sulfur availability controls sulfide deposition.

3.5.3 Localization of Deposition

Deposition is spatially localized rather than continuous along pathways. Even within a single flow system, precipitation occurs only where specific conditions are met. These locations tend to recur spatially because the controlling factors—structure, hydrology, and chemistry—are persistent.

3.6 Time and Incremental Accumulation

3.6.1 Repetition of Depositional Events

Most mineral deposits form through numerous small depositional events rather than a single episode. Each event contributes a minor increment of mineralization, but repetition over geological time produces significant concentrations.

3.6.2 Persistence of Flow Systems

The persistence of flow systems is critical to accumulation. Short-lived systems may mobilize metals without producing economic deposits, whereas long-lived systems allow incremental deposition to accumulate. Structural stability, reactivation, and sustained gradients all contribute to persistence.

3.6.3 Feedback and Amplification

Feedback mechanisms amplify accumulation. Mineral precipitation can seal portions of the rock mass, redirecting flow into adjacent pathways and sharpening nodal behavior. Alteration halos may increase fracture density, further focusing flow.

3.7 Implications for Spatial Patterning

The processes described above naturally generate spatial patterns characterized by linear corridors of transport and discrete nodal zones of accumulation. These patterns are emergent properties of system dynamics rather than imposed geometries and form the physical basis of the emergent lattice framework.

3.8 Summary

This chapter has demonstrated that mineral transport and deposition are governed by hydrologic and geochemical processes operating over long timescales. Fluid-driven transport along persistent pathways, coupled with localized deposition at transition zones, inevitably produces spatial organization. These mechanisms provide the foundation for understanding mineral deposits as emergent features of integrated Earth systems.

Chapter 4 — Structural Controls and Flow Persistence

4.1 Introduction

Hydrologic and geochemical processes govern the movement and transformation of dissolved materials, but they do not operate in isolation. The Earth's crust is mechanically heterogeneous, shaped by tectonic deformation, fracturing, and lithologic contrasts. These structural features exert a primary control on where fluids can move, how long they can circulate, and where mineral deposition ultimately occurs. Without persistent structural pathways, fluid-driven mineral systems would dissipate rather than concentrate material.

This chapter examines the role of structural geology in establishing and maintaining long-lived flow corridors. Particular emphasis is placed on faults, fractures, and deformation zones as conduits that are repeatedly reused through geological time. The persistence and reactivation of these structures provide the spatial stability necessary for the emergence of nodal accumulation zones and, collectively, an emergent lattice of mineralization.

4.2 Mechanical Architecture of the Crust

4.2.1 Heterogeneity and Anisotropy

The crust is characterized by pronounced mechanical heterogeneity. Variations in lithology, grain size, cementation, and metamorphic grade create anisotropic permeability fields. Structural deformation further enhances this heterogeneity by introducing faults, joints, shear zones, and folds, each with distinct hydraulic properties.

These features influence fluid flow by:

* Increasing permeability locally
* Creating directional flow anisotropy
* Compartmentalizing fluid systems

As a result, fluid movement is concentrated along specific corridors rather than dispersed evenly.

4.2.2 Fault Zone Architecture

Fault zones typically consist of a low-permeability core surrounded by a damage zone of enhanced fracture density. While fault cores may act as barriers, damage zones often function as effective conduits for fluid flow. This dual behavior results in focused flow along fault margins and intersections, creating favorable conditions for both transport and deposition.

Numerous studies have documented strong spatial correlations between major fault systems and ore deposits across a wide range of geological environments.

4.3 Structural Reactivation and Temporal Continuity

4.3.1 Reuse of Faults and Fractures

One of the most significant characteristics of structural controls is their tendency to be reused. Faults formed during one tectonic regime are frequently reactivated during subsequent events, even under different stress orientations. Reactivation maintains or renews permeability, allowing fluids to migrate repeatedly along the same pathways.

This reuse is essential for mineral accumulation, as it enables incremental deposition to occur at the same locations over extended periods.

4.3.2 Longevity of Structural Frameworks

Deep-seated structural frameworks, such as cratonic faults, rift margins, and orogenic shear zones, can persist for hundreds of millions of years. While surface expressions may be modified by erosion and sedimentation, the underlying structural architecture often remains intact, continuing to influence fluid flow and mineralization.

Such longevity provides the temporal continuity required for the development of large mineral deposits.

4.4 Structural Hierarchies and Network Geometry

4.4.1 Multiscale Structural Systems

Structural systems are inherently hierarchical. Regional-scale faults branch into subsidiary faults and fracture networks, creating nested permeability architectures. These hierarchies enable fluids to move from deep sources through progressively smaller pathways toward depositional environments.

This multiscale organization naturally produces network-like geometries rather than isolated conduits.

4.4.2 Intersections and Bends as Nodes

Intersections between faults of differing orientation or scale are particularly favorable sites for mineralization. These locations:

* Increase fracture density
* Promote fluid mixing
* Facilitate pressure drops

Similarly, fault bends and step-overs create localized dilation zones that enhance permeability. Within the emergent lattice framework, such features function as primary nodal accumulation zones.

4.5 Structural Influence on Geochemical Conditions

4.5.1 Pressure and Temperature Gradients

Structural pathways influence the physical conditions experienced by fluids. Vertical or steeply dipping faults can facilitate rapid ascent of deep, hot fluids, leading to cooling and decompression that promote mineral precipitation. Structural barriers may cause fluids to slow or pond, increasing residence time and chemical equilibration.

4.5.2 Fluid Mixing Along Structures

Structures often juxtapose fluids of different origins or compositions. For example, meteoric water descending along fractures may intersect ascending hydrothermal fluids, creating sharp chemical contrasts. Such mixing is a powerful trigger for mineral precipitation and reinforces the localization of deposits at structural nodes.

4.6 Feedback Mechanisms and Pathway Reinforcement

4.6.1 Chemical Alteration and Mechanical Weakening

Fluid-rock interaction alters mineralogy and mechanical properties. Alteration halos commonly exhibit increased fracture density and reduced rock strength, enhancing permeability and focusing subsequent fluid flow along the same pathways.

4.6.2 Mineral Sealing and Flow Redirection

Conversely, mineral precipitation may seal portions of the rock mass, redirecting fluids into adjacent fractures or along fault margins. This selective sealing sharpens flow localization and promotes the formation of discrete nodes rather than diffuse mineralization.

4.7 Structural Controls and Emergent Patterning

The combined effects of structural hierarchy, reactivation, and feedback produce spatial patterns characterized by:

* Linear corridors of repeated flow
* Concentrated nodal zones at intersections and barriers
* Irregular spacing governed by geological context

These patterns are consistent with the emergent lattice framework. The lattice arises not from imposed symmetry but from the inherent organization of structural systems interacting with fluid flow and geochemistry.

4.8 Summary

This chapter has demonstrated that structural geology provides the spatial framework necessary for persistent fluid flow and mineral accumulation. Faults and fractures act as long-lived conduits, their reuse and reinforcement enabling repeated transport and deposition. Intersections and structural complexities create nodal zones where mineralization preferentially occurs. Together, these processes explain why mineralization is focused along persistent corridors rather than distributed randomly throughout the crust.

Chapter 5 — The Emergent Lattice Model

5.1 Introduction

Chapters 2 through 4 established that mineral deposits arise from persistent fluid flow constrained by geological structure and geochemical conditions. These processes do not act independently; they interact to produce spatial organization over geological time. This chapter synthesizes those processes into a unified conceptual framework: the **Emergent Lattice Model**.

The emergent lattice is not proposed as a geometric grid, engineered network, or deterministic structure. Instead, it is a descriptive framework for understanding how repeated movement through constrained pathways naturally generates interconnected corridors and nodal accumulation zones. The lattice is *emergent* because it arises from system behavior rather than design, and it is *irregular* because it reflects geological constraints rather than symmetry.

5.2 Definition and Components of the Emergent Lattice

The emergent lattice is defined as:

A spatially irregular network of persistent transport pathways and nodal accumulation zones formed by the interaction of hydrologic flow, structural controls, and geochemical transitions over geological time.

Three core components define the lattice:

1. **Pathways** — corridors of repeated fluid movement
2. **Nodes** — locations where transport conditions force deposition
3. **Feedback mechanisms** — processes that reinforce pathway persistence and nodal stability

These components interact dynamically to produce organized spatial patterns without centralized control.

5.3 Pathways: Corridors of Persistent Transport

5.3.1 Nature of Pathways

Pathways represent zones of enhanced permeability that focus fluid flow repeatedly. They may manifest as regional fault systems, basin-scale aquifers, fracture corridors, or lithologic boundaries. Although their permeability may vary through time, their spatial location often remains stable due to structural inheritance and reactivation.

Pathways are defined by *use*, not merely by presence. A fault that does not transmit fluid does not function as a pathway within the lattice.

5.3.2 Hierarchical Organization of Pathways

Pathways operate at multiple scales:

* **Primary pathways** — crustal-scale faults and basin margins
* **Secondary pathways** — subsidiary faults and fracture zones
* **Tertiary pathways** — deposit-scale fractures and pore networks

This hierarchy allows material mobilized at depth to be progressively focused toward depositional environments.

5.4 Nodes: Zones of Accumulation

5.4.1 Definition of Nodes

Nodes are locations where conditions change sufficiently to force dissolved materials out of solution. Common node types include:

* Fault intersections and bends
* Permeability barriers and pinch-outs
* Redox fronts and chemical boundaries
* Fluid mixing zones
* Pressure and temperature transition zones

Nodes are process-defined rather than spatially fixed by geometry.

5.4.2 Stability and Persistence of Nodes

Nodes persist because the conditions that create them are reinforced by geological structure and feedback. Fault intersections remain intersections despite reactivation, basin margins continue to focus flow, and chemical gradients sharpen through repeated interaction.

Persistence enables incremental deposition to accumulate into significant mineral concentrations.

5.5 Feedback Mechanisms Within the Lattice

5.5.1 Positive Feedback

Positive feedback strengthens lattice organization:

* Fluid flow enhances permeability through alteration
* Alteration weakens rock, promoting further fracturing
* Repeated precipitation sharpens chemical gradients

These processes stabilize pathways and nodes, increasing system efficiency.

5.5.2 Negative Feedback and Dynamic Adjustment

Negative feedback prevents uniform mineralization:

* Mineral sealing reduces permeability locally
* Flow is redirected into adjacent pathways
* New nodes may form while others diminish

This dynamic adjustment produces irregular spacing and evolving lattice geometry.

5.6 Emergence Versus Imposition

5.6.1 Distinction from Deterministic Grids

The emergent lattice differs fundamentally from deterministic or geometric grids. Its spacing is irregular, orientation varies by region, and node density reflects geological complexity rather than symmetry.

No global coordinate system or imposed geometry governs the lattice.

5.6.2 Emergence in Complex Systems

Emergence is a common feature of complex natural systems. River networks, fracture systems, and ecological webs all exhibit organized patterns without centralized design. The emergent lattice of mineralization is analogous: order arises from interaction, not intention.

5.7 Visualization and Interpretation

The lattice can be visualized as:

* A network of lines (pathways) and points (nodes)
* A probability surface rather than a fixed map
* A dynamic structure evolving through time

Visualization aids interpretation but does not imply physical structures beyond those already described.

5.8 Relationship to Symbolic Frameworks

Throughout history, symbolic systems have been used to describe perceived order in nature. While such systems may intuitively align with emergent patterns, this thesis treats them strictly as interpretive overlays rather than causal mechanisms.

The emergent lattice stands independently, grounded entirely in physical processes.

5.9 Practical Implications

The emergent lattice framework offers practical value by:

* Explaining regional deposit clustering
* Guiding exploration toward persistent corridors
* Integrating diverse datasets into coherent interpretations

It shifts exploration focus from isolated anomalies to system behavior.

5.10 Summary

This chapter has presented the emergent lattice model as a synthesis of hydrologic, structural, and geochemical processes. Persistent pathways focus transport, nodes localize deposition, and feedback mechanisms stabilize spatial organization. The lattice is not imposed; it emerges from Earth system behavior.

Chapter 6 — Methodology: Operationalizing the Emergent Lattice

6.1 Introduction

For the emergent lattice framework to be useful beyond conceptual explanation, it must be translatable into observable variables, testable procedures, and reproducible analytical workflows. This chapter outlines a methodology for identifying, mapping, and evaluating lattice components using standard geological, hydrologic, geochemical, and geophysical datasets. The intent is not to prescribe a single algorithmic solution, but to demonstrate how existing tools can be integrated coherently to reveal system-scale behavior.

The methodology emphasizes **probability, integration, and iteration**. Rather than attempting deterministic prediction, it seeks to identify regions where multiple independent indicators converge, thereby reducing exploration risk and improving interpretive clarity.

6.2 Methodological Principles

6.2.1 Probabilistic Modeling

Geological systems are inherently uncertain due to incomplete preservation, limited exposure, and variable data resolution. Accordingly, the emergent lattice is mapped as a probability field rather than a fixed structure. Pathways and nodes are treated as zones of increased likelihood rather than precise locations.

This probabilistic framing aligns with modern exploration practice and reflects the true nature of geological inference.

6.2.2 Process-Based Variable Selection

Only variables directly related to transport, control, or deposition processes are included. These variables are selected based on mechanisms established in Chapters 3 through 5. Purely geometric, symbolic, or correlational variables without physical justification are excluded.

6.3 Data Inputs

6.3.1 Structural Datasets

Structural data provide the primary framework for pathway identification. Relevant datasets include:

* Regional and local fault maps
* Fracture density and orientation datasets
* Lineament interpretations from satellite imagery
* Structural interpretations from seismic, gravity, or magnetic surveys

Where available, attributes such as fault length, orientation, displacement, and reactivation history are incorporated to distinguish major corridors from minor features.

6.3.2 Hydrologic Datasets

Hydrologic data constrain fluid movement and persistence. Useful inputs include:

* Aquifer extents and properties
* Groundwater flow directions and hydraulic head
* Paleo-drainage reconstructions
* Basin geometry and stratigraphic architecture

These datasets help identify long-lived flow systems and zones of convergence or discharge.

6.3.3 Geochemical Datasets

Geochemical data provide evidence of transport and deposition. Relevant inputs include:

* Stream sediment and soil geochemistry
* Rock geochemistry
* Alteration mineral distributions
* Redox indicators and isotopic data

Emphasis is placed on gradients, anomalies, and spatial coherence rather than absolute concentrations.

6.3.4 Geophysical Datasets

Geophysical data enhance subsurface interpretation and reduce ambiguity. Commonly used datasets include:

* Magnetic surveys for structural trends
* Gravity data for basin architecture
* Electrical resistivity and magnetotellurics for fluid pathways

These datasets are particularly valuable in regions with limited surface exposure.

6.4 Identification of Pathways

6.4.1 Structural Corridor Mapping

Structural corridors are identified by clustering faults and fractures with similar orientations and spatial continuity. Major corridors are those that exhibit regional extent, evidence of reactivation, and alignment with other datasets.

6.4.2 Hydrologic Consistency

Structural corridors are evaluated against hydrologic data to determine whether they plausibly supported sustained fluid flow. Corridors aligned with hydraulic gradients, basin margins, or recharge-discharge systems are prioritized.

6.4.3 Temporal Filtering

Where temporal data are available, pathways active during relevant mineralizing periods are distinguished from younger or unrelated structures. This filtering reduces false positives and improves model relevance.

6.5 Identification of Nodes

6.5.1 Structural Nodes

Structural nodes include fault intersections, bends, step-overs, and terminations. These locations are assigned elevated nodal probability due to enhanced permeability and fluid mixing.

6.5.2 Hydrologic Nodes

Hydrologic nodes are associated with:

* Groundwater discharge zones
* Aquifer pinch-outs
* Basin margins and barriers

Such locations often coincide with pressure and chemical transitions.

6.5.3 Geochemical Nodes

Geochemical nodes are identified by:

* Sharp concentration gradients
* Alteration fronts
* Redox boundaries

These indicators provide direct evidence of deposition processes.

6.6 Data Integration and Weighting

6.6.1 Multivariate Overlay Analysis

Datasets are normalized and combined using GIS-based overlay techniques. Each variable is weighted according to its relevance to transport or deposition processes. Weighting schemes are adjusted iteratively to test sensitivity and robustness.

6.6.2 Node Probability Scoring

Nodes are scored based on the number and quality of coincident indicators. High-probability nodes exhibit structural complexity, hydrologic significance, and geochemical anomalies.

6.7 Validation and Sensitivity Testing

6.7.1 Comparison with Known Deposits

Model outputs are compared with known deposit locations to assess correspondence. While perfect alignment is not expected, statistically significant clustering within high-probability zones supports model validity.

6.7.2 Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity testing evaluates how changes in variable weighting affect results. Robust models show consistent high-probability zones across reasonable parameter ranges.

6.8 Methodological Limitations

Limitations include variable data quality, subsurface uncertainty, and preservation bias. These constraints reinforce the probabilistic nature of the framework and highlight the need for iterative refinement.

6.9 Summary

This chapter has demonstrated that the emergent lattice framework can be operationalized using standard geological datasets and analytical tools. By integrating structural, hydrologic, and geochemical information, it is possible to identify persistent pathways and nodal accumulation zones with meaningful exploratory value.

Chapter 7 — Case-Type Applications Across Major Resource Classes

7.1 Introduction

A conceptual framework gains credibility only when it demonstrates explanatory power across multiple contexts. Mineral deposits differ widely in chemistry, depth, host lithology, and tectonic setting; however, they share common requirements for transport, focusing, and deposition. This chapter applies the emergent lattice framework to several major resource classes—copper, gold, lithium, and uranium—to demonstrate that diverse deposit types can be understood as expressions of the same underlying system behavior.

These applications are **illustrative rather than exhaustive**. The objective is not to reinterpret every deposit through a single lens, but to show that the lattice framework consistently identifies the same classes of pathways and nodes recognized independently in the literature.

7.2 Copper Systems

7.2.1 Overview of Copper Mineralization

Copper deposits occur in several major forms, including porphyry copper systems, sediment-hosted stratiform copper, and volcanic massive sulfides. Despite their diversity, all copper systems involve large volumes of fluid transport and strong structural control.

7.2.2 Porphyry Copper Systems

Porphyry copper deposits are classically associated with magmatic intrusions and hydrothermal alteration halos. However, these systems extend far beyond the immediate intrusion.

Within the emergent lattice framework:

* **Primary pathways** are regional fault zones that permit ascent of magmatic fluids
* **Secondary pathways** include fracture networks within and surrounding the intrusion
* **Nodes** form where ascending fluids decompress, cool, or mix with meteoric waters

The repeated focusing of fluids along these corridors explains why porphyry copper deposits cluster within magmatic belts rather than occurring randomly throughout volcanic arcs.

7.2.3 Sediment-Hosted Copper

Sediment-hosted copper deposits form through basin-scale fluid migration rather than localized magmatism. Basin brines mobilize copper from large volumes of sediment and focus deposition at basin margins, redox boundaries, or fault-controlled traps.

In lattice terms:

* **Pathways** are basin-scale aquifers and fault corridors
* **Nodes** occur at permeability pinch-outs, fault intersections, and chemical transition zones

The recurrence of copper mineralization along basin margins supports the concept of persistent, system-scale pathways.

7.3 Gold Systems

7.3.1 Orogenic Gold Deposits

Orogenic gold deposits provide one of the clearest demonstrations of lattice behavior. These deposits occur along major fault systems within metamorphic belts and are characterized by repeated episodes of fluid flow and mineralization.

7.3.2 Structural Control and Pathway Persistence

Gold-bearing fluids migrate along deep-seated fault zones that may be active for tens to hundreds of millions of years. Structural reactivation ensures permeability, while pressure fluctuations during seismic events promote episodic deposition.

Within the lattice framework:

* **Pathways** are crustal-scale shear zones
* **Nodes** are fault bends, jogs, and intersections

The clustering of gold deposits along specific fault corridors reflects the long-term reuse of these pathways.

7.3.3 Incremental Accumulation

Gold deposition often occurs in small, discrete events rather than continuous precipitation. Over time, these events accumulate to form economically significant deposits. This behavior aligns precisely with the lattice concept of incremental accumulation at stable nodes.

7.4 Lithium Systems

7.4.1 Lithium Brine Deposits

Lithium brine deposits form in closed basins where evaporative concentration enriches lithium to economic levels. These systems are fundamentally hydrologic rather than magmatic.

7.4.2 Basin-Scale Flow and Node Formation

Lithium is mobilized from surrounding volcanic or sedimentary rocks and transported into closed basins via

groundwater flow. Evaporation concentrates lithium, while basin geometry and hydrologic isolation maintain enrichment.

Within the lattice framework:

* **Pathways** are regional groundwater flow systems
* **Nodes** are closed basins with restricted outflow

The spatial recurrence of lithium deposits in arid, tectonically active regions reflects the predictable emergence of such nodes.

7.5 Uranium Systems

7.5.1 Sandstone-Hosted Uranium

Sandstone-hosted uranium deposits form at redox fronts where oxidized uranium-bearing fluids encounter reducing environments. These deposits are among the clearest examples of chemically controlled nodes.

7.5.2 Redox Fronts as Lattice Nodes

In lattice terms:

* **Pathways** are permeable sandstone aquifers
* **Nodes** are redox interfaces controlled by organic matter, sulfides, or reduced minerals

The migration of redox fronts over time does not negate node behavior; instead, it creates zones of concentrated deposition within structurally and hydrologically constrained corridors.

7.6 Cross-Comparison of Resource Classes

Despite chemical differences, the following commonalities emerge across all examined resource types:

1. **Fluid transport is essential**
2. **Pathways are structurally and hydrologically constrained**
3. **Deposition occurs at transition zones**
4. **Time and repetition are critical**
5. **Spatial clustering is inevitable**

These shared characteristics support the general applicability of the emergent lattice framework.

7.7 Implications for Exploration Targeting

Applying the lattice framework encourages exploration strategies that:

* Focus on corridors rather than isolated anomalies
* Prioritize nodal complexity over simple proximity
* Integrate structural, hydrologic, and geochemical data

This approach reduces reliance on chance discovery and improves conceptual coherence.

7.8 Summary

This chapter has demonstrated that the emergent lattice framework applies across diverse mineral systems. Copper, gold, lithium, and uranium deposits all exhibit the same fundamental pattern: repeated transport through persistent pathways followed by accumulation at stable nodes.

The lattice is therefore not resource-specific, but process-specific.

Chapter 8 — Discussion and Limitations

8.1 Introduction

The preceding chapters have developed and applied the emergent lattice framework as a systems-based interpretation of mineral deposit distribution. While the framework demonstrates explanatory coherence across multiple resource classes, it is essential to critically examine its assumptions, limitations, and boundaries. Scientific credibility depends not only on explanatory power but also on transparency regarding uncertainty and constraint. This chapter situates the emergent lattice model within existing geological paradigms, evaluates sources of uncertainty, and clarifies where the framework should and should not be applied.

8.2 Interpretive Strengths of the Emergent Lattice Framework

8.2.1 Explaining Regional Deposit Clustering

One of the strongest contributions of the emergent lattice framework is its ability to explain why mineral deposits cluster regionally. Traditional deposit-centric models often describe how mineralization occurs but struggle to explain why multiple deposits recur along the same corridors. By emphasizing persistent pathways and nodal accumulation zones, the lattice framework provides a clear explanation for this clustering.

8.2.2 Integration Across Disciplines

The lattice framework integrates concepts from hydrogeology, structural geology, and geochemistry into a single interpretive model. This integration reflects the reality that mineralization is not governed by a single process but by the interaction of multiple Earth systems. As a result, the framework promotes interdisciplinary thinking and reduces conceptual fragmentation.

8.3 Limits of Predictive Capability

8.3.1 Probabilistic Nature of Geological Systems

Geological systems are inherently probabilistic. Incomplete data, subsurface uncertainty, and complex overprinting processes prevent deterministic prediction of deposit locations. The emergent lattice framework does not overcome these limitations; instead, it explicitly embraces them by framing outputs as probability surfaces rather than definitive maps.

8.3.2 Risk of Overinterpretation

There is a risk that lattice patterns could be overinterpreted, particularly when visualized graphically. Apparent regularities may arise from data bias, uneven sampling, or retrospective fitting. Care must therefore be taken to ensure that lattice interpretations are grounded in independent geological evidence rather than purely visual correlation.

8.4 Data and Methodological Limitations

8.4.1 Data Resolution and Availability

The quality of lattice mapping depends heavily on data resolution and availability. In regions with sparse geological mapping or limited geophysical coverage, pathway and node identification may be uncertain. Subsurface structures without surface expression pose particular challenges.

8.4.2 Preservation Bias

Not all mineral systems are preserved equally. Erosion, metamorphism, and tectonic reworking may remove or obscure evidence of earlier mineralization. Consequently, the present-day distribution of deposits represents a filtered record of past processes. This preservation bias complicates attempts to validate the lattice framework using modern datasets alone.

8.5 Temporal Complexity

8.5.1 Overprinting of Multiple Systems

Many mineralized regions have experienced multiple tectonic, hydrologic, and geochemical regimes. Later systems may overprint earlier ones, modifying or obscuring original pathways and nodes. In such cases, the emergent lattice may represent a composite of multiple generations rather than a single coherent system.

8.5.2 Migration of Nodal Zones

Certain nodal environments, particularly redox fronts and hydrologic discharge zones, may migrate over time in response to changing conditions. While such migration does not invalidate the lattice concept, it introduces spatial uncertainty that must be accounted for in interpretation.

8.6 Conceptual Boundaries and Misinterpretation Risks

8.6.1 Distinction from Symbolic or Metaphysical Models

Given the visual similarity between lattice-like geological patterns and symbolic frameworks historically used to describe natural order, it is essential to reiterate that the emergent lattice model is entirely physical and descriptive. It does not imply intentional design, hidden geometries, or metaphysical causation.

8.6.2 Avoiding Overgeneralization

The lattice framework should not be applied indiscriminately. Deposit types dominated by mechanical concentration, such as placer deposits, may not conform neatly to the framework. Careful evaluation is required to determine whether fluid-driven transport and chemical precipitation are the dominant processes in a given context.

8.7 Comparison with Alternative Models

8.7.1 Deposit-Centric Models

Deposit-centric models remain valuable for understanding local mineralization mechanisms. The emergent lattice does not replace these models but provides broader context by explaining how multiple deposits relate spatially and temporally.

8.7.2 Systems-Based Approaches

The lattice framework aligns closely with mineral systems theory and basin analysis. Its primary contribution lies in explicitly articulating the spatial organization produced by these systems, rather than introducing entirely new processes.

8.8 Implications for Scientific Practice

The emergent lattice framework encourages scientists to think in terms of pathways, persistence, and interaction rather than isolated causation. This shift supports more holistic interpretation of geological data and reinforces the value of integrated Earth system approaches.

8.9 Summary

This chapter has critically evaluated the emergent lattice framework, highlighting its strengths, limitations, and appropriate boundaries. The framework offers strong interpretive value while remaining explicitly probabilistic and grounded in physical processes. Recognizing its limitations enhances, rather than diminishes, its scientific credibility.

Chapter 9 — Implications for Exploration and Earth System Understanding

9.1 Introduction

The emergent lattice framework developed in this thesis carries implications that extend beyond explanation of known mineral deposits. By reframing mineralization as the cumulative outcome of persistent flow systems, the framework influences how exploration strategies are designed and how Earth systems are conceptualized. This chapter examines those implications, first in the context of mineral exploration practice and then in relation to broader Earth system science.

9.2 Implications for Mineral Exploration

9.2.1 From Discrete Targets to System Corridors

Conventional exploration workflows often prioritize discrete targets identified through geochemical anomalies, geophysical responses, or local geological features. While effective in certain contexts, this approach may overlook the broader systems that sustain mineralization. The emergent lattice framework encourages a shift from point-based targeting toward **corridor-based exploration**, in which entire pathways of persistent fluid flow are evaluated.

This shift enables:

* Identification of blind or buried deposits
* Contextual interpretation of anomalies
* Long-term strategic planning rather than opportunistic discovery

---

9.2.2 Risk Reduction and Target Ranking

Exploration is fundamentally a risk-management exercise. By integrating multiple independent indicators—structural, hydrologic, and geochemical—the lattice framework improves target ranking and reduces uncertainty. High-probability zones are those where multiple lattice components converge, increasing confidence in exploration decisions.

9.2.3 Compatibility with Modern Exploration Tools

The emergent lattice framework is compatible with existing exploration technologies and datasets. It does not require proprietary algorithms or novel instrumentation. Instead, it enhances the interpretive power of:

* GIS-based spatial analysis
* Geochemical surveys

* Geophysical datasets
* Structural mapping

This compatibility facilitates adoption within industry and academia.

9.3 Implications for Resource Sustainability

9.3.1 Understanding Resource Distribution

As global demand for critical minerals increases, understanding the geological controls on resource distribution becomes increasingly important. The lattice framework suggests that future discoveries are more likely to occur within known corridors rather than in entirely new terrains. This insight informs land-use planning, regulatory policy, and long-term resource strategy.

9.3.2 Shared Controls Across Subsurface Resources

The processes governing mineralization also influence groundwater availability, geothermal systems, and subsurface energy storage. Persistent flow pathways and nodal zones affect fluid movement and heat transfer across multiple resource types. Recognizing these shared controls supports integrated subsurface management.

9.4 Broader Earth System Implications

9.4.1 Earth as a Self-Organizing System

The emergent lattice framework reinforces the view of the Earth as a self-organizing system. Order arises not from imposed design but from the interaction of physical processes operating under boundary conditions. Mineralization is one expression of this broader behavior, alongside river networks, fracture systems, and ecological patterns.

9.4.2 Coupling of Surface and Subsurface Processes

The framework highlights the coupling between surface processes—climate, erosion, hydrology—and subsurface processes—fluid flow, structural deformation, geochemical reactions. Changes at the surface influence recharge and flow pathways, which in turn affect subsurface mineralization patterns. This coupling underscores the importance of integrated Earth system models.

9.5 Educational and Conceptual Implications

9.5.1 Teaching Mineral Systems

The emergent lattice provides an intuitive conceptual tool for teaching mineral systems. By emphasizing pathways and nodes, it helps students understand why deposits cluster and recur. This perspective complements traditional deposit typologies and fosters system-level thinking.

9.5.2 Conceptual Boundary Setting

Explicit distinction between emergent physical patterns and symbolic interpretations promotes conceptual clarity. Maintaining this boundary is essential for scientific rigor, particularly when patterns resemble culturally or historically symbolic geometries.

9.6 Future Research Directions

The emergent lattice framework suggests several avenues for future research:

* Quantitative testing against global deposit databases
* Integration with numerical fluid flow models
* Application to underexplored regions
* Extension to non-metallic and energy resources

Such work would refine the framework and further evaluate its general applicability.

9.7 Summary

This chapter has explored the implications of the emergent lattice framework for mineral exploration, resource management, and Earth system science. By emphasizing persistence, interaction, and probability, the framework enhances both practical exploration strategies and conceptual understanding of Earth's self-organizing behavior.

Chapter 10 — Conclusion

10.1 Purpose Revisited

This thesis set out to determine whether the spatial distribution of mineral deposits can be understood as the emergent outcome of persistent fluid flow systems constrained by geological structure, geochemical conditions, and time. Rather than treating deposits as isolated anomalies or singular events, the work reframed mineralization as a cumulative process driven by repeated material movement through long-lived pathways and deposition at stable transition zones.

The central question—whether mineral deposits can be **probabilistically mapped** by identifying such pathways and nodes—has been addressed through synthesis of economic geology, hydrogeology, structural geology, and systems thinking.

10.2 Summary of Core Findings

Several key findings emerge from this work:

1. **Fluid-driven transport is fundamental**
Aqueous fluids are the primary agents responsible for mobilizing and redistributing metals within the crust. Without persistent fluid flow, large-scale mineral concentration is not feasible.

2. **Flow is constrained and persistent**
Faults, fractures, aquifers, and lithologic boundaries guide fluid movement. Structural reactivation preserves permeability and enables repeated circulation over geological timescales.

3. **Deposition is localized at transition zones**
Mineral precipitation is forced by physical and chemical changes—cooling, decompression, redox shifts, and fluid mixing—that occur at specific, predictable locations.

4. **Time amplifies incremental processes**
Most mineral deposits form through numerous small depositional events. Persistence and repetition transform minor effects into economically significant concentrations.

5. **Spatial organization emerges naturally**
The interaction of pathways, nodes, and feedback mechanisms produces irregular but intelligible spatial patterns. These patterns are emergent properties of system behavior rather than imposed geometry.

10.3 The Emergent Lattice as a Contribution

The emergent lattice model represents the primary conceptual contribution of this thesis. It provides a framework for describing how interconnected pathways and nodal accumulation zones organize mineralization at regional scales. The lattice is explicitly **descriptive and probabilistic**, not deterministic or prescriptive.

Importantly, the model does not assert engineered planetary grids, hidden symmetries, or metaphysical causation. It is grounded entirely in physical, testable processes recognized within established Earth science.

10.4 Practical and Scientific Implications

From an applied perspective, the emergent lattice framework supports a shift in exploration strategy from isolated target hunting toward corridor-based system evaluation. By identifying persistent flow pathways and zones of nodal complexity, exploration programs can reduce uncertainty and better allocate resources.

From a scientific perspective, the framework reinforces the interpretation of the Earth as a self-organizing system. Mineral deposits are not exceptional anomalies but expected outcomes of coupled hydrologic, structural, and geochemical processes operating over deep time.

10.5 Limitations and Appropriate Use

The lattice framework does not eliminate geological uncertainty. Data limitations, preservation bias, and temporal overprinting constrain interpretation. The model should therefore be applied as a **risk-reduction and interpretive tool**, not as a deterministic predictor of deposit locations.

Recognizing these limits strengthens the framework's scientific credibility and clarifies its proper role within exploration and research contexts.

10.6 Final Statement

Mineralization is the geological record of material in motion. Water moves through the Earth along paths defined by structure and chemistry. Where that movement is forced to change, material accumulates. Where this occurs repeatedly, deposits form.

The emergent lattice is the spatial expression of that process.

It is neither arbitrary nor mysterious.
It is the inevitable outcome of flow, constraint, and time.

References

Bierlein, F. P., Groves, D. I., Goldfarb, R. J., & Dubé, B. (2006). Lithospheric controls on the formation of orogenic gold deposits. *Economic Geology, 101*(3), 467–478.

Burnham, C. W. (1979). Magmas and hydrothermal fluids. In H. L. Barnes (Ed.), *Geochemistry of Hydrothermal Ore Deposits* (2nd ed., pp. 71–136). Wiley.

Cox, S. F. (2005). Coupling between deformation, fluid pressures, and fluid flow in ore-producing hydrothermal systems at depth in the crust. *Economic Geology, 100*(3), 465–482.

Hedenquist, J. W., Thompson, J. F. H., Goldfarb, R. J., & Richards, J. P. (2005). Economic geology: One hundred years of progress. *Economic Geology 100th Anniversary Volume*, 1–36.

Ingebritsen, S. E., Sanford, W. E., & Neuzil, C. E. (2006). *Groundwater in Geologic Processes* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Pirajno, F. (2009). *Hydrothermal Processes and Mineral Systems*. Springer.

Robb, L. (2005). *Introduction to Ore-Forming Processes*. Blackwell Publishing.

Skinner, B. J. (1997). Hydrothermal mineral deposits: What we do and don’t know. *Reviews in Economic Geology, 10*, 1–29.

Titley, S. R., & Beane, R. E. (1981). Porphyry copper deposits: Part I. Geologic settings, petrology, and tectogenesis. *Economic Geology 75th Anniversary Volume*, 214–234.